

1 2 3	999 E St	TON COMMISSION reet, N.W. 2016 HAR 18 AM 9:						
4	FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT							
5 6 7 8		CELA PRE-MUR 575 DATE RECEIVED: July 28, 2014 DATE ACTIVATED: June 18, 2015						
8 9		EXPIRATION OF SOL: April 8, 2019						
10 11	SOURCE:	Sua Sponte Submission						
12 13	RESPONDENT:	Southern Alliance for Clean Energy						
14 15 16	RELEVANT STATUTES:	52 U.S.C. § 30104 52 U.S.C. § 30120						
17								
18	FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED:	None						
19	I, INTRODUCTION							
20	The Southern Alliance for Clean Energy ("SACE") <sup>1</sup> filed a sua sponte submission with							
21	the Federal Election Commission ("Commission") stating that it inadvertently violated the							
22	Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), by distributing an							
23	electioneering communication with an incomplete disclaimer and failing to timely disclose the							
24	communication. The available information shows that there is reason to believe that SACE							
25	violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(f) by failing to file a report with the Commission within 24 hours of							
26	making the electioneering communication, and 52 U.S.C. § 30120(a)(3) and (d)(2) because the							
27	disclaimer failed to state that the communication was not authorized by any candidate or							

SACE is a nonprofit group organized under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.

See Policy Regarding Self-Reporting of Campaign Finance Violations (Sua Sponte Submissions), 72 Fed. Reg. 16,695 (Apr. 5, 2007) ("Sua Sponte Policy"); SACE Sua Sponte Submission at 1 (Jul. 15, 2014), P-MUR 575 (Southern Alliance for Clean Energy) ("Submission").

- 1 candidate's committee or that SACE was responsible for its content. We pursued this matter
- 2 through Fast-Track Resolution<sup>3</sup> ("FTR") and have now completed conciliation negotiations with
- 3 SACE. We recommend that the Commission open a Matter Under Review, accept the attached
- 4 negotiated Conciliation Agreement, and close the file in this matter.

## 5 II. FACTS

- From March 24 through April 13, 2014, SACE aired a television advertisement in North
- 7 Carolina, "Billionaires," which thanked Senator Kay Hagan for her efforts to protect air quality.
- 8 Senator Hagan was running for re-election during this time period. The advertisement displayed
- 9 a disclaimer stating that it was "Paid for by the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy" and
- 10 providing SACE's website.
- SACE states that it initiated a legal review after receiving a press inquiry about the
- 12 advertisement, and that the review concluded that the advertisement was an electioneering
- 13 communication and SACE should have filed a report with the Commission disclosing
- 14 disbursements related to the advertisement. A SACE asserts that it was unaware of the
- 15 Commission's rules governing electioneering communications, and notes that it does not
- participate in political campaigns and had never before made an electioneering communication.<sup>5</sup>
- 17 On July 25, 2014, SACE filed a report with the Commission disclosing \$369,914.89 in
- disbursements related to this advertisement. It also amended its policies to mandate that all radio

See OGC Memorandum (Dec. 1, 2015), P-MUR 575 (Southern Alliance for Clean Energy).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Letter from Ezra W. Reese (Aug. 17, 2015).

SACE has filed no other disclosure reports with the Commission. SACE also notes that the primary election that triggered the reporting requirement was "not competitive," as Hagan won close to 80 percent of the vote, and that the general election in North Carolina was almost six months later. Submission at 2.

1 and television advertisements referring to federal candidates be reviewed by election law counsel

2 before being broadcast.6

3

6

7

10

16

#### III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

## A. Reporting Requirements for Electioneering Communications

An electioneering communication is a "broadcast, cable or satellite communication" that:

(1) refers to a clearly identified candidate for federal office; (2) is made within 60 days before a

general election or 30 days before a primary election; and (3) is targeted to the relevant

8 electorate. A candidate is "clearly identified" when the candidate's name, nickname,

9 photograph, or drawing appears, or the identity of the candidate is otherwise apparent through an

unambiguous reference.<sup>8</sup> A communication is "targeted to the relevant electorate" when it can

be received by 50,000 or more persons in the state the candidate seeks to represent.9

Under 52 U.S.C. § 30104(f) and 11 C.F.R. § 104.20, any person who makes aggregate

disbursements exceeding \$10,000 for the cost of producing and airing electioneering

14 communications during any calendar year must file a report with the Commission within 24

15 hours of publicly distributing the communication. This "24-Hour Report" must include the

identity of the person making the disbursement, the amount and the identity of the recipient of

each disbursement over \$200, and the names and addresses of contributors who give \$1,000 or

18 more in the calendar year to the person making the disbursement.<sup>10</sup>

Submission at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30104(f)(3); 11 C.F.R. § 100.29(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> 11 C.F.R. § 100.29(b)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>. 11 C.F.R. § 100.29(b)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30104(f).

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1 SACE was required to file a 24-Hour Report for "Billionaires" because it was an 2 electioneering communication and the costs exceeded the Act's \$10,000 disclosure threshold. 3 The advertisement clearly identified a federal candidate, Senator Kay Hagan, and aired in North 4 Carolina (the relevant electorate for the Senate race) during the 30-day electioneering communication window for the May 6 primary election. 11 Further, SACE calculated the pro-5 6 rated cost of running the advertisement during the electioneering communications window as \$369.914.89.12 SACE was therefore required to file a disclosure report disclosing the 7 8 \$369,914.89 in costs within 24 hours of April 6, 2014, but did not file its report until July 25, 9 2014. SACE admits that "Billionaires" qualifies as an electioneering communication, and that it failed to timely file the required report. Accordingly, SACE violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(f) by

#### Disclaimer Requirements for Electioneering Communications B.

failing to timely file an electioneering communication report for the advertisement.

The Act requires electioneering communications to include disclaimers. 14 The communications, if not authorized by a candidate, 15 must clearly state the name and permanent street address, telephone number, or website address of the person who paid for the communication and state that the communication was not authorized by any candidate or candidate's committee. 16 A televised communication that is not authorized by a candidate must

The advertisement aired from March 24, 2014 through April 13, 2014 — the corresponding window for "electioneering communications" opened on April 6, 2014, while SACE's advertisement was still on the air.

SACE provided documentation to support its calculation. See Letters from Ezra W. Reese (Aug. 17 and Oct. 14, 2015); E-mail from Ezra W. Reese (Oct. 19, 2015 3:12 PM EST).

<sup>13</sup> Submission at 2-3.

<sup>52</sup> U.S.C. § 30120(a).

There is no indication that Hagan was involved with, or authorized, SACE's communication.

<sup>16</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30120(a)(3).

Pre-MUR 575 (Southern Alliance for Clean Energy)
First General Counsel's Report
Page 5 of 7

]	l a	ılso ii	nclu	ide a	n ora	l state	ement	disc	losing	, who	is	respo	nsible	e for i	ts	content	.''

2	SACE's advertisement displayed a written disclaimer reflecting that it was paid for by
3	SACE and providing the address for SACE's website, but contained no oral disclaimer
4	whatsoever. 18 The advertisement, therefore, lacked the required written statement that it was not
5	authorized by any candidate or candidate's committee, and the required oral statement that
6	SACE was responsible for its content. SACE admits that the disclaimer did not comply with the
7	Act. 19 Accordingly, SACE violated 52 U.S.C. § 30120(a)(3) and (d)(2) by failing to include a

8 complete disclaimer in the advertisement.

9

10

11

12

13

14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> 52 U.S.C. § 30120(d)(2).

Submission at 2-3. SACE did not submit a copy of the advertisement, but the advertisement is available online through SACE's YouTube page. See https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ydaOFfIMFTQ (last visited Mar. 17, 2016).

Submission at 3.

8

9

Pre-MUR 575 (Southern Alliance for Clean Energy)
First General Counsel's Report
Page 6 of 7

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			

# V. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 7 Open a Matter Under Review;
  - 2. Accept the attached Conciliation Agreement with the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy;
- 10 3. Approve the appropriate letter; and

Pre-MUR 575 (Southern Alliance for Clean Energy) First General Counsel's Report Page 7 of 7

1	4. Close the file.		
2 3			Daniel A. Petalas Acting General Counsel
4			12 h 1 1 1 N +
5	Dated: March 17, 2016	BY:	Kohler Lit by Pat
6	Dated: Made 17, 2010	21.	Kathleen Guith
7			Acting Associate General Counsel for
8 9			Enforcement
9			
10			Note that the same of the same
11			bell to
12			Peter G. Blumberg
13			Assistant General Counsel
14			
15			Rachel Flin
16			
17			Rachel-A. Flipse
18	•		Attorney
19			
20			